IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO

–

WRIT PETITION No.2229 of 1998

Dated: 29-03-2007

Between:

P.Rama Gopala Rao.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1.The A.P.State Road Transport Corporation,
rep. by its Regional Manager,
Vijayawada, and another.

.....RESPONDENTS

–

The Hon'ble Sri Justice Nooty Ramamohana Rao

–

Writ Petition No.2229 of 1998

Order:

When this Writ Petition came up for hearing before this Court on the previous occasion i.e., on 15-03-2007, after hearing the learned Counsel for the writ petitioner, I directed him to produce the necessary material so that the issue, 'Whether the writ petitioner had worked with the private bus operator prior to the route being

nationalized?', can be decided effectively.

The respondents have been stoutly denying that the writ petitioner was engaged by the private bus operator prior to the nationalisation at all.

Unfortunately, even today, the learned Counsel for the writ petitioner is not in a position to produce any material to vouch for the claim of the writ petitioner that he was a displaced workman of the private bus operator. In the absence of this essential material, the primary question itself cannot be adjudicated.

In this view of the matter, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-production of the necessary and relevant material to enable this Court to decide the issue. No costs.

(Nooty Ramamohana Rao, J)

29th March, 2007
LUR